



Gp 3761 ~~84~~  
copy  
of  
14

HERBERT R. BURNHAM  
2252 Boulder Creek Street  
Chula Vista, CA 91915

September 19, 2003

Re: Office Action mailed 8/22/03  
Examiner: Reichle, K.M.  
Group Art Unit 3761  
Pat. Appln. #09/846,229

U.S. PATENT OFFICE  
Washington, D.C.  
Fax # (703) 872-9306

This is in response to the above-identified Office Action.

It is objected that there is a multidependent claim in my last response and no corresponding fee has been submitted.

In my response of May 2, 2003 I propose an independent claim 5, a new claim 6 depending from claim 5 and a new claim 7 depending solely from claim 5. No other claims are pending in this application. In this light, applicant fails to understand the objection to multidependency which he believes to be nonexistent.

To obviate confusion with preceding amendments, enclosed please find copy of my letter dated May 2, 2003 and of claims amended at that time.

In case applicant has not dealt satisfactorily with the Examiner's objections, enclosed please find a Credit Card Payment Form, duly completed, authorizing payment of the fee of \$130.

Respectfully Submitted,

Herbert R. Burnham

10 01/20/03 55400000 0000/0000 0000/0000

01 FC:1999

130.00 00

Enclosures

RECEIVED

OCT 03 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

FAXED

4:55 pm

MAILED

9-20-03



**HERBERT R. BURNHAM**  
2252 Boulder Creek Street  
Chula Vista, CA 91915

May 2, 2003

Re: Notice of Non-Compliant  
Amendment  
Examiner: Reichle, K.M.  
Group Art Unit 3761  
Pat. Appln: # 09/846,229

**RECEIVED**

OCT 03 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

U.S. Patent Office  
Washington, D.C.

This is in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed March 4, 2003.

A one-month extension was requested on a timely basis for a new due date of May 4, 2003.

A marked-up version of the substitute specification, together with a substitute specification and a Verified Statement, are enclosed herewith.

Claims 1-4 are canceled.

New claims 5-7 have been modified in order to include word "NEW" after the claim number. Claim 7 has been corrected to depend from claim 5 only.

A new Declaration has been prepared in order to adequately identify the application to which it refers, to state that the applicant has reviewed and understands the original and substitute specifications as well as amendments to claims and drawings.

Drawings have been corrected in order to highlight changes, to provide identifying indicia and to exclude extraneous matter.

Applicant has tried to comply with all requirements and appreciates the thoroughness and patience of the Examiner.

Respectfully Submitted.

Herbert R. Burnham

**FAXED**  
5-12-03

**COPY**